

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Status Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002

| | | | | www.dapinagov | |
|--|------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/923,496 | 3,496 08/06/2001 | | David Ineson | 01831058 | 2288 |
| 24500 | 7590 | 09/30/2002 | | | |
| LAURA M | | | EXAMINER | | |
| SIEMENS CORPORATION 186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH | | | | NORRIS, JEREMY C | |
| ISELIN, NJ 08830 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2827 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | ~ | W. |
|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| 0.55 | 09/923,496 | INESON ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jeremy C. Norris | 2827 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the pactod for regly specified above is less than thirty (00) days, a rep Failure to regly weithin the set or extended period for regly will, by statut, Any reply received by the folice later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, c, cause the application to become ABAND | to e timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | September 2001 . | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The | nis action is non-final. | |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application | n. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-63 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | oproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | • • | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | l9(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documen | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documen | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bi See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ureau (PCT Ruie 17.2(a)). | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 5) Notice of Infor | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/923,496 Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a) The embodiment of figures 1-2,
- b) The embodiment of figures 3-4,
- c) The embodiment of figures 5-6,
- d) The embodiment of figures 7-9.
- e) The embodiment of figures 10-11,
- f) The embodiment of figure 12,
- g) The embodiment of figure 13,
- h) The embodiment of figure 14A,
- The embodiment of figure 14B.
- The embodiment of figure 15,
- k) The embodiment of figure 16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/923,496

Art Unit: 2827

THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Daniel H Shulman on 23 September 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/923,496 Art Unit: 2827 Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 703-306-5737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th., 9AM - 6:30 PM and alt. Fri. 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular communications and 703-308-0725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JCSN September 25, 2002

Other Palan 9-27-67

ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER